

From: [Jennifer Gaines](#)
To: [Ambuter, Hal](#)
Subject: RE: Tier III Submission Question
Date: 06/17/2009 11:06 AM

OK I'm glad that helped!

Jennifer

Jennifer Gaines
Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch
Registration Division (7505P)

Tel: 703 305-5967

Fax: 703 305-6309

▼ "Ambuter, Hal" ---06/17/2009 10:55:01 AM---Yes it does! Very clear. I was forced under much pressure to send this e-mail to you.

From: "Ambuter, Hal" <Hal.Ambuter@reckittbenckiser.com>
To: Jennifer Gaines/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/17/2009 10:55 AM
Subject: RE: Tier III Submission Question

Yes it does! Very clear.

I was forced under much pressure to send this e-mail to you.

I knew the answer but needed you to definitively state it.

Thanks
~ Hal

-----Original Message-----
From: Gaines.Jennifer@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Gaines.Jennifer@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2009 10:47 AM
To: Ambuter, Hal
Subject: RE: Tier III Submission Question

Hi Hal,

Sorry I guess "would work the best" wasn't the correct terminology to use! But the placement site is mandatory based on the Risk Mitigation Decision on Table 4, page 37 where it says to place it immediately under the product name. I hope this clears up any confusion.

Sincerely,
Jennifer

Jennifer Gaines

Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch
Registration Division (7505P)

Tel: 703 305-5967
Fax: 703 305-6309

From: "Ambuter, Hal" <Hal.Ambuter@reckittbenckiser.com>

To: Jennifer Gaines/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 06/16/2009 02:05 PM

Subject: RE: Tier III Submission Question

Jennifer

Can we ask if "would work the best" means this is mandatory or this is your preference?

The reason is that the text would need to be 10 point and really break up the desired flow of the label. We thought that the statement right below the actual picture of the station and in the same general vicinity of the other precautionary language would be acceptable and more consumer impactful.

Could we ask for you to reconsider?

Thx
~ hal

-----Original Message-----

From: Gaines.Jennifer@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Gaines.Jennifer@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 12, 2009 1:46 PM
To: Ambuter, Hal
Subject: RE: Tier III Submission Question

Hi Hal,

Out of the three placements you have on the label, the one that is directly below the dead mouse would work the best. I attached the label with just that placement remaining. Thanks for checking with us first and let me know if there are any other questions or concerns. Have a great weekend!

Jennifer

Jennifer Gaines
Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch
Registration Division (7505P)

Tel: 703 305-5967
Fax: 703 305-6309

(See attached file: d-Con BaitStationIlabel.pdf)

From: "Ambuter, Hal" <Hal.Ambuter@reckittbenckiser.com>

To: Jennifer Gaines/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: "Heather Bjornson" <HBjornson@TSGUSA.COM>

Date: 06/12/2009 12:02 AM

Subject: RE: Tier III Submission Question

Jennifer

any feedback on this question?

~ hal

From: Ambuter, Hal
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 12:33 PM
To: 'Gaines.Jennifer@epamail.epa.gov'
Cc: 'Heather Bjornson'
Subject: Tier III Submission Question

Jennifer,

I am starting to build my Tier III submissions and had a couple of questions.

I have noted from the Mitigation Measures document that the following statement must appear on the front panel of the package:

"This Bait Station is Resistant to Tampering by Children. Use Indoors Only."

Questions:

1. Please confirm that this is still required for front panel.